18th International INFORUM Conference

5 – 12 September 2010

Hikone, Japan

Mariusz Plich

The EU Emission Trading System and the Polish Economy - how to assess the impact



Plan of the presentation

- What this presentation is about
- From Brundtland Commission to EU Energy-Climate Package
- What is going to happen in the III trading period of ETS (2013-2020)
- Dilemmas of measuring the impact of the EU ETS

What this presentation is about

The European Union Greenhouse Gas Emission Trading System (EU ETS)

GHG gases

- Carbon dioxide (CO2);
- Methane (CH4);
- Nitrous oxide (N2O);
- Other gases Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), Perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)

GWP weighting factors (examples)

	Gree	Greenhouse gases								
	CO2	N2O	CH4							
GWP	1	310	21							

On the way to reduce greenhouse gas emissions

Milestones of global actions

③ Brundtland (1986)
 ③ Rio FCCC (1992)
 ④ Kyoto (1997)
 ④ Copenhagen (2009)

Milestones of EU actions

© The European Emissions Trading Scheme (2003)

© Statement for Copenhagen (2007)

© 20-20-20 Package (2009)

Annex I Parties ^a	Emission limitation or reduction (expressed in relation to total GHG emissions in the base year or period inscribed in Annex B to the Kyoto Protocol) ^b
EU-15*, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia,	80%
Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Monaco,	-0 //
Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Switzerland	
US ^c	-7%
Canada, Hungary, Japan, Poland	-6%
Croatia	-5%
New Zealand, Russian Federation, Ukraine	0
Norway	+1%
Australia	+8%
Iceland	+10%

Koto Protocol reduction targets

a At the time of publication of this manual, the amendment to the Kyoto Protocol that contains an emissions target for Belarus (-8%) had not been ratified by a sufficient number of Parties for it to enter into force.

b Countries with economies in transition have flexibility in the choice of base year.

c Country which has declared its intention not to ratify the Kyoto Protocol.

Source: UNFCC 2008.

Countrios	Kyoto			Year		
Countries	target	2008	2005	2000	1995	1990
EU (15 countries)	92.0	93.1	97.2	96.5	97.0	99.5
Belgium	92.5	91.4	97.1	99.3	102.6	98.4
Bulgaria	92.0	55.4	53.3	52.2	67.0	88.5
Czech Republic	92.0	72.8	74.8	75.9	79.0	100.5
Denmark	79.0	92.1	92.1	98.5	110.1	99.4
Germany	79.0	77.7	79.3	83.1	89.4	99.9
Estonia	92.0	47.5	45.5	42.7	49.0	95.8
Ireland	113.0	121.3	123.8	121.8	105.2	98.6
Greece	125.0	118.6	124.2	116.7	100.8	96.5
Spain	115.0	140.0	150.2	131.4	108.7	98.4
France	100.0	93.5	98.7	98.8	98.8	99.9
Italy	93.5	104.8	110.8	106.4	102.4	100.0
Latvia	92.0	45.9	43.8	39.4	48.6	103.4
Lithuania	92.0	49.2	46.5	39.2	44.3	100.6
Luxembourg	72.0	94.9	100.8	75.2	78.7	99.6
Hungary	94.0	63.4	69.2	66.8	68.2	84.4
Netherlands	94.0	97.1	99.7	100.7	105.4	99.5
Austria	87.0	109.6	117.5	101.6	101.0	98.9
Poland	94.0	70.2	69.2	69.3	78.1	80.5

Kyoto targets for the European countries

Countrios	Kyoto			Year		
Countines	target	2008	2005	2000	1995	1990
Lithuania	92.0	49.2	46.5	39.2	44.3	100.6
Luxembourg	72.0	94.9	100.8	75.2	78.7	99.6
Hungary	94.0	63.4	69.2	66.8	68.2	84.4
Netherlands	94.0	97.1	99.7	100.7	105.4	99.5
Austria	87.0	109.6	117.5	101.6	101.0	98.9
Poland	94.0	70.2	69.2	69.3	78.1	80.5
Portugal	127.0	130.3	144.0	135.2	116.3	98.6
Romania	92.0	52.4	53.7	49.0	64.9	87.0
Slovenia	92.0	104.6	99.3	92.5	90.7	90.8
Slovakia	92.0	67.8	69.5	68.3	74.0	102.6
Finland	100.0	98.8	96.4	97.3	99.7	99.1
Sweden	104.0	88.7	93.8	95.4	102.9	100.4
United Kingdom	87.5	80.9	84.3	86.6	91.7	99.4
Iceland	110.0	144.9	110.6	111.8	95.1	101.4
Liechtenstein	92.0	114.8	118.2	111.0	102.6	100.0
Norway	101.0	108.2	108.0	107.4	100.2	100.3
Switzerland	92.0	100.8	102.3	98.3	97.1	100.3

Kyoto targets for the European countries

The Kyoto Protocol covers the period 2008-2012 ...and what beyond...



For the European Union, the answer is

20-20-20 Package

20-20-20 Package

Tragets

- 1. the reduction in GHG by 20% compared to 1990
- 2. the increase of share of renewable fuels in energy mix to 20%
- 3. improving energy efficiency by 20%

Key Tools

EU ETS Directive (covers power and energy intensive sectors) target: 21% GHG reduction in 2020, compared to 2005
non-ETS Directive (for sectors not covered by the ETS) target: 10% GHG reduction in 2020, compared to 2005

ETS Directive amendments

- Full auctioning for power sector but...
- Derogation for electricity production
- Industries exposed to the carbon leakage
- Transitional system for energy intensive sectors
- Reserve (for new entrants)
- New gases (nitrous oxide, perfluorocarbons), and sectors (for ex. aluminium, non-ferrous metals, some product of chemical industry mineral wool, soda, ammonia, some acids (nitric)..., air transport.

Year	E	uropean Unic	n	Poland				
	Allowances	Reserve	Allowances (after deduction of reserve)	Allowances	Reserve	Allowances (after deduction of reserve)		
2010	2 033			208.5				
2013	1 927	96.34	1 831	197.6	9.9	187.7		
2014	1 892	94.58	1 797	194.0	9.7	184.3		
2015	1 856	92.81	1 763	190.4	9.5	180.8		
2016	1 821	91.04	1 730	186.7	9.3	177.4		
2017	1 785	89.27	1 696	183.1	9.2	173.9		
2018	1 750	87.50	1 663	179.5	9.0	170.5		
2019	1 715	85.73	1 629	175.8	8.8	167.1		
2020	1 679	83.96	1 595	172.2	8.6	163.6		

Quantity of allowances (mln. of CO2e

Voor	Shares of sectors no	f free allow ot exposed leakage	ances for to carbon	Dregation
Tear	Share (directive)	Annual change	Share	(Poland)
2013	0.8000	\succ	0.8000	70%
2014	\land /		0.7286	60%
2015	$ \setminus $		0.6571	50%
2016		0 0714	0.5857	40%
2017		-0.0714	0.5143	30%
2018	$ / \rangle$		0.4429	20%
2019			0.3714	10%
2020	0.3000	\succ	0.3000	0%
2021	\land /		0.2571	\land
2022	$ \setminus / $		0.2143	
2023		0 0420	0.1714	
2024		-0.0429	0.1286	XI
2025	$ / \rangle $		0.0857	
2026	$/$ \setminus		0.0429	$ / \rangle $
2027	0.0000	\times	0.0000	/ N

Free allowances – parameters (1)

Free allowances – parameters (2)

Distribution of CO2 emissions between sectors covered by ETS in EU and Poland

				Electricity	Exposure of the sector to carbon leakage						
		Unit	Total			no		yes			
Region	Year		emissions	production	district heating (100%)	industrial heating (25%)	industry (25%)	industrial heating (75%)	industry (75%)		
EU 2005	mln. t. of CO2	2 122.82	1 144.48	195.38	50.38	145.36	151.15	436.07			
20	2000	share	100.0%	53.9%	9.2%	2.4%	6.9%	7.1%	20.5%		
Poland 2008	2008	mln. t. of CO2	204.11	124.37	22.94	3.66	10.55	10.96	31.63		
	2000	share	100.0%	60.9%	11.2%	1.8%	5.2%	5.4%	15.5%		

Free allowances – parameters (3)

Benchmarks for free allocation in EU and Poland

Region	Activit	y / method	Ex-ante benchmark	Share in production	Average benchmark
	Heat	cogeneration	0.8333	84%	0 7988
EU	production	district heating	0.6176	16%	0.7500
	Industry		0.7468	100%	0.7468
	Heat	cogeneration	0.7778	63%	0 6843
Poland	production	district heating	0.5250	37%	0.0043
	Industry		0.7468	100%	0.7468

Quantity of free allowances

Free allocation in EU and Poland

		Total		Free allocation								
		quantity of	derogation		exposure	e of sector	to carbon l	eakage				
Region	Year	allowances	Electricity		no		y€	es		total		
		(after deduction	production	district	industrial	inductry	industrial	industry	total	iolai		
		of reserve)	production	heating	heating	muustiy	heating	muustiy				
	2013	1 830.5		107.6	104.1	280.8	27.7	74.9	595.2			
	2014	1 796.9		96.2	102.2	275.6	24.8	67.0	565.8			
	2015	1 763.3	sum of	85.2	100.3	270.5	21.9	59.3	537.1			
	2016	1 729.7	memeber	74.5	98.4	265.3	19.2	51.8	509.2	')		
LU	2017	1 696.1	countries'	64.1	96.5	260.2	16.5	44.6	481.9	ſ		
	2018	1 662.5	derogations	54.1	94.6	255.0	13.9	37.7	455.3			
	2019	1 628.9		44.5	92.6	249.9	11.5	31.0	429.4			
	2020	1 595.3		35.2	90.7	244.7	9.1	24.5	404.2			
	2013	186.8	81.0	11.5	6.9	21.6	1.8	5.8	47.6	128.6		
	2014	183.4	69.4	10.3	6.7	21.2	1.6	5.2	45.0	114.5		
	2015	180.0	57.9	9.1	6.6	20.8	1.4	4.6	42.6	100.4		
Poland	2016	176.6	46.3	8.0	6.5	20.4	1.3	4.0	40.1	86.4		
ruanu	2017	173.1	34.7	6.8	6.4	20.0	1.1	3.4	37.8	72.5		
	2018	169.7	23.1	5.8	6.2	19.6	0.9	2.9	35.5	58.6		
	2019	166.3	11.6	4.7	6.1	19.2	0.8	2.4	33.2	44.8		
	2020	162.8	0.0	3.8	6.0	18.8	0.6	1.9	31.1	31.1		

The allocation of allowances to be auctioned (88-10-2 rule)

	Sharo in			Redistribu	tion accord	ing to the 88	3-10-2 rule			
	share in		share		solidarity		early e	efforts	Quantity	Countries'
Country	omission	Preliminary	88%		10%		29	%	for	share in
Obunity	(may 2005.	distribution	hasic	increase	new quantity				auctioning	total
	(max 2003) 2007)		quantity	of basic	before	after	share	quantity	auctioning	qantity
	2007)		quantity	(%)	correction	correction				
Austria	1.55%	19.1	16.9	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	16.85	1.4%
Belgium	2.57%	31.7	27.9	10%	2.79	2.74	0	0.00	30.67	2.5%
Bulgaria	1.82%	22.5	19.8	53%	10.49	10.27	15%	3.71	33.76	2.7%
Cyprus	0.24%	3.0	2.6	0.2	0.52	0.51	0	0.00	3.12	0.3%
CzechRepublic	3.93%	48.6	42.7	31%	13.24	12.97	0.04	0.99	56.68	4.6%
Denmark	1.40%	17.3	15.2	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	15.22	1.2%
Estonia	0.62%	7.7	6.7	0.42	2.83	2.77	0.06	1.48	10.99	0.9%
Finland	1.86%	23.0	20.2	0%	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	20.22	1.6%
France	6.09%	75.2	66.2	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	66.21	5.4%
Germany	22.28%	275.2	242.2	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	242.21	19.6%
Grece	3.31%	40.9	36.0	17%	6.12	5.99	0	0.00	41.97	3.4%
Hungary	1.22%	15.1	13.3	28%	3.71	3.64	5%	1.24	18.13	1.5%
Ireland	1.04%	12.8	11.3	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	11.31	0.9%
Italy	10.52%	130.0	114.4	2%	2.29	2.24	0	0.00	116.61	9.4%
Latvia	0.13%	1.6	1.4	56%	0.79	0.77	4%	0.99	3.18	0.3%
Lithuania	0.31%	3.8	3.4	0.46	1.55	1.52	0.07	1.73	6.62	0.5%
Luxembourg	0.12%	1.5	1.3	10%	0.13	0.13	0	0.00	1.43	0.1%
Malta	0.09%	1.1	1.0	23%	0.23	0.22	0%	0.00	1.20	0.1%
Netherlands	3.73%	46.1	40.6	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	40.55	3.3%
Poland	9.63%	119.0	104.7	39%	40.83	39.97	0.27	6.67	151.33	12.2%
Portugal	1.69%	20.9	18.4	16%	2.94	2.88	0%	0.00	21.25	1.7%

Distribution of allowances for auctioning in 2013

The allocation of allowances to be auctioned (88-10-2 rule)

	Shara in			Redistribu	tion accord	ing to the 88	3-10-2 rule			
	Share In		share		solidarity		early e	efforts	Quantity	Countries'
Country	iolai	Preliminary	88%		10%		29	%	Quantity	share in
Country		distribution	haaia	increase	crease new quantity				IUI	total
	(max 2005)		Dasic	of basic	before	after	share	quantity	auctioning	qantity
	2007)		quantity	(%)	correction	correction				
Germany	22.28%	275.2	242.2	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	242.21	19.6%
Grece	3.31%	40.9	36.0	17%	6.12	5.99	0	0.00	41.97	3.4%
Hungary	1.22%	15.1	13.3	28%	3.71	3.64	5%	1.24	18.13	1.5%
Ireland	1.04%	12.8	11.3	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	11.31	0.9%
Italy	10.52%	130.0	114.4	2%	2.29	2.24	0	0.00	116.61	9.4%
Latvia	0.13%	1.6	1.4	56%	0.79	0.77	4%	0.99	3.18	0.3%
Lithuania	0.31%	3.8	3.4	0.46	1.55	1.52	0.07	1.73	6.62	0.5%
Luxembourg	0.12%	1.5	1.3	10%	0.13	0.13	0	0.00	1.43	0.1%
Malta	0.09%	1.1	1.0	23%	0.23	0.22	0%	0.00	1.20	0.1%
Netherlands	3.73%	46.1	40.6	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	40.55	3.3%
Poland	9.63%	119.0	104.7	39%	40.83	39.97	0.27	6.67	151.33	12.2%
Portugal	1.69%	20.9	18.4	16%	2.94	2.88	0%	0.00	21.25	1.7%
Romania	3.23%	39.9	35.1	0.53	18.61	18.22	0.29	7.17	60.50	4.9%
Slovakia	1.17%	14.5	12.7	41%	5.21	5.11	0.03	0.74	18.57	1.5%
Slovenia	0.41%	5.1	4.5	20%	0.89	0.87	0%	0.00	5.33	0.4%
Spain	8.52%	105.3	92.6	0.13	12.04	11.79	0	0.00	104.41	8.5%
Sweden	0.90%	11.1	9.8	10%	0.98	0.96	0	0.00	10.74	0.9%
Great Britain	11.62%	143.6	126.3	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0.00	126.32	10.2%
EU27	100.00%	1 235.4	1 087.1	\ge	126.20	123.54	100%	24.71	1 235.38	100.0%

Distribution of allowances for auctioning in 2013 (cont.)

The allocation of allowances to be auctioned (88-10-2 rule)

		EU			Poland							
Voor				'oorly		'solid	larity'	'oorly	Total	Droo	Austia	
real	Total	'share'	'solid.'	earry offorto'	'share'	before	after	earry offorto'	88-10-	Dieu-	Auctio-	
				enons		corr.	corr.	enons	20 rule	yalion	ming	
2013	1 235.4	1 087.1	123.5	24.7	104.7	40.8	40.0	6.7	151.3	81.0	70.3	
2014	1 231.1	1 083.4	123.1	24.6	104.3	40.7	39.8	6.6	150.8	69.4	81.4	
2015	1 226.2	1 079.0	122.6	24.5	103.9	40.5	39.7	6.6	150.2	57.9	92.3	
2016	1 220.6	1 074.1	122.1	24.4	103.4	40.3	39.5	6.6	149.5	46.3	103.2	
2017	1 214.2	1 068.5	121.4	24.3	102.9	40.1	39.3	6.6	148.7	34.7	114.0	
2018	1 207.2	1 062.4	120.7	24.1	102.3	39.9	39.1	6.5	147.9	23.1	124.7	
2019	1 199.5	1 055.6	120.0	24.0	101.7	39.6	38.8	6.5	146.9	11.6	135.4	
2020	1 191.1	1 048.2	119.1	23.8	100.9	39.4	38.5	6.4	145.9	0.0	145.9	

Distribution of allowances for auctioning in the period 2013-2020

Poland Free allocation EU Year Auctio-Reserve total ning derogation exposure 70.3 81.0 47.6 2013 1 927 208.8 9.8 205.5 9.7 81.4 69.4 45.0 2014 1 892 9.5 92.3 2015 1 856 202.2 57.9 42.6 46.3 2016 1 821 198.9 9.3 103.2 40.1 195.6 34.7 9.1 37.8 2017 1 785 114.0 2018 1 750 192.3 8.9 124.7 23.1 35.5 8.8 135.4 33.2 2019 1 715 188.9 11.6 31.1 2020 1 679 185.6 8.6 145.9 0.0

Distribution of allowances within EU ETS

Dilemmas of measuring the impact of the EU ETS

What can happen (results of simulations) – a naive approach

- **BAU** base scenario (business as usual)
- **EE20** assumes achieving target 3 by 2020 by gradual improving energy efficiency
- **PI trends** assumes that pollution intensity (measured as GHG emissions per unit of energy) follows negative trends observed in Poland from 1990)
- Mix scenario, which combines the two previously mentioned scenarios.

Dilemmas of measuring the impact of the EU ETS

What can happen (results of simulations) – a naive approach



Dilemmas of measuring the impact of the EU ETS

Questions to answer

- What opportunities the ETS sectors have to reduce emissions, how expensive the opportunities are, and what will be their results for the reduction (marginal abatement cost curve)?
 - Price of allowances at primary and secondary market (currently EUR 12-15, forecasts varies from 15 to EUR 65 in 2016
 - Increase of prices in the ETS sectors
 - Changes in emission coefficiets
 - Changes in energy intensity in all sectors (input-output coefficients)
- Possible use of the proceeds from auctions (primary market)
- Assumptions of base scenario influence price of CO2 allowances