

### Demand and long term growth: Testing some post-keynesian topics

Renato Paniccià (IRPET)

Inforum conference Osnabruck, August 2016

Drawn by: The role of demand factors in the determination of the GDP growth rate, R.Paniccià and S.Prezioso, in: *Theoretical foundation of macroeconomic policy: growth productivity and public finance*, ed(F.Saltari), Routledge 2016: 1) Pure neoclassical: Demand? What is demand?..... Although someone said, precisely, "At the five to ten years time scale, we have to piece things [Supply and Demand] together as best as we can, and look for an hybrid model that will do the job" (Solow, 2000, p. 158).

2) Neo-keynesian: Demand is only a source of short term disequilibria mainly due to production factors inertia and market rigidity

3) Post keynesian: Demand is a source of structural change of the long term growth



## Framework of post keynesian analysis

### Starting point

Forget the 'natural' neoclassical equilibrium (full utilization of production factors) the starting point is the Domar-Harrod "structural" dynamic instability, this means that the long term growth is not a natural path, towards all economies are converging, but it is endogenously determined by the interaction of demand and supply

### Theorethical tools

From the original multiplier-accelerator scheme, the post keynesian approach included significant contributions on the distribution, demand and supply side. In this presentation I would like to test the role of demand on long term growth via investment and kaldorian TPF.

#### Precisely ...

Demand shocks could have significant effects on long term growth, and what I need to isolate is the long term component (structural) of growth



The supply side of this test starts from the "consensus" equation on the structural productvity

$$\pi = f(A,\kappa)$$

Kaldor (1957) and later on Thirwall (2002) proposed a different interpretation of the Ak equation

- 1) It is not the same for all economies
- 2) it is not driven by factors prices but depends on two main factors: i) investment efficiency in embodying tech progress (Kaldor); ii)multiplier (Thirwall)



A simple post keynesian model: key equations

$$\dot{Y} = y(\dot{D}, \dot{T}x, g_x)$$
$$g_x = q(A, \dot{k})$$
$$I = i(\Delta(Y_{t-1}), K_{t-1})$$

To introducing gx in the GDP equation I used a ECM approach: So gx=q(A, k) is the long run relation



## Two main estimates: GDP growth

ECM equation on GDP growth

Dependent variable	Germany	France	Italy	USA
?log(Y)	,		, ,	
Constant	-0.00036	-0.00154	0.00085	-0.00471
	(-0.0021)	(-0.0018)	(-0.001)	(-0.003)
?log(D)	1.08	1.12	1.08	1.15
	(0.1148)**	(0.0905)**	(0.0512)**	(0.1015)**
?log (E/M)	0.31	0.14	0.23	0.09
	(0.0553)**	(0.0599)*	(0.0223)**	(0.0288)**
g <sub>x</sub> (-1)	-0.054	-0.041	-0.054	-0.023
	(0.0221)*	(0.0202)*	(0.0220)*	(0.0131)*
Observations:	23	25	30	30
R-squared:	0.94	0.90	0.95	0.96
DW	1.929	2.81	2.464	1.892
Sample	1992-2014	1990-2014	1985-2014	1985-2014

Source: our calculation on data-set AMECO release 2014



## and Gross Fixed Investments

#### Estimate of accelerator-type equations

Dep. Var: log(I)	Germany	France	Italy	USA
Constant	-5.60636	-2.56992	-2.87634	-6.08079
	(1.8752)*	(0.3720)**	(1.3385)*	(0.9670)**
MovAv[2,(Dlog(D <sup>.</sup> )]	2.20611	2.52208	2.29004	2.78354
	(0.4819)**	(0.5326)**	(1.0276)*	(1.1385)**
log(K(-1))	0.61291	1.03164	1.06902	1.34343
	(0.1175)**	(0.0421)**	(0.1584)**	(0.0907)**
Dummy=2002				0.09620
				(0.0386)*
Dummy=2005	-0.07639			
	(0.0305)*			
Dummy>=2013	0.0522	0.07612	-0.14315	-0.11203
	(0.0240)*	(0.0271)*	(0.0601)*	(0.0267)**
R-squared:	0.8293	0.9769	0.9235	0.9535
DW	1.287	1.234	1.71	1.507
Sample	1995-2014	1995-2014	1995-2014	1995-2014

Note: D<sup>\*</sup> stands for Final Demand net of investments Source: our calculation on data- set AMECO release 2014



#### **Results of the GDP equation estimates**





# The long term contribution



